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Abstract—Parallel patterns, views, and spaces are promising abstractions to capture the programmer’s intent as well as the contextual information that can be used by an underlying runtime to efficiently map software to parallel hardware. These abstractions can be valuable in cases where an algorithm must accommodate requirements of code and performance portability across hardware architectures and vendor programming models. Kokkos is a parallel programming model for host- and accelerator architectures that relies on these abstractions and targets these requirements. It consists of a pure C++ interface, a specification, and a programming library. The programming library exposes patterns and types and maps them to an underlying abstract machine model. The abstract machine model offers a generic view of parallel hardware. While Kokkos is gaining popularity in large-scale HPC applications at some DOE laboratories, we believe that the implemented concepts are of interest to a broader audience including academia as they may contribute to a generic, vendor, and architecture-independent education of parallel programming. In this work, we give an insight into the design considerations of this programming model and list important abstractions. Further, we document best practices obtained from giving virtual classes on Kokkos and give pointers to resources that the reader may consider valuable for a lecture on generic parallel programming for students with preexisting knowledge on this matter.

Index Terms—parallel programming, programming models, C++, best-practices, on-line lectures

Parallel computing is an area of academic focus. The interest is two-fold. Firstly, given their role, educators adapt to trends in research and industry and include parallel computing in their curricula, and secondly, parallel computing is an attractive field for department research and industry collaborations.

While industry-driven collaborations often predefine technological frameworks such as programming environments and target architectures, academic teaching leaves this mostly undefined. In this case, educators can select from a variety of architectures and programming models for their parallel computing curricula. Which particular set to choose for teaching in class is not trivial. While decision factors differ, maximizing the subject relevance by teaching generic principles of parallel programming is among the most important ones.

Consequently, generic parallel programming models are desirable that target mainstream programming languages and allow a vendor- and architecture-independent, performance-portable expression of concurrency. In this way, a parallel program becomes capable of running efficiently on computer architectures that conform to a common parallel machine specification. We would like to compare this to the support of Von Neumann architectures in sequential programming languages today.

While message-passing, OpenMP, OpenCL or CUDA maintain their role in teaching, the plethora of novel parallel programming models [1] [2] [3] hints towards an emphasis on productivity in parallel programming and also brings up the valid question whether this strengthens the argument for their inclusion in education.

Based on our experience in supercomputing, we see that productivity is gaining importance as the number of hardware solutions, their complexity to program as well as demands for a quick time-to-solution increase. Consequently, we think that the inclusion of novel programming models is worth consideration in case they offer generic programming concepts for a vendor- and architecture-independent, performance-portable expression of concurrency and can contribute to parallel programming productivity.

It is the productivity concern as well as the need for a generic expression of concurrency due to periodic changes of supercomputer architectures and their vendors in national projects that inspired the development of Kokkos. The increasing adoption of Kokkos in these projects confirms its usefulness which motivates us to present Kokkos to academia in this work.

Kokkos[4] is a performance-portable, parallel programming model for C++ developed collaboratively by several
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national laboratories as part of the US Department of Energy Exascale Project [5]. It consists of a programming model specification and a library. The specification defines the execution and memory model and an application programming interface (library API). The runtime library exposes programming primitives, namely execution patterns and types, including views and execution spaces and maps them to the underlying abstract machine model. The machine model creates a generic view of hardware and memories. Finally, the Kokkos library implements the mapping of the programming model to vendor-specific programming models, libraries, and memory layouts. Kokkos has been successfully used in many large-scale projects at Sandia National Laboratories, Oak-Ridge National Laboratories, Los Alamos National Laboratories, University of Utah, and others [6]. We list its key properties as follows.

- Targets an abstract machine model
- Implements patterns, views, and spaces
- Vendor- and architecture-independent and open-source
- Aligns with C++ standardization efforts for parallel programming
- Includes exercises and teaching material

The objective of this paper is to present Kokkos from three perspectives. Firstly, we would like to present our thoughts that accompanied the design and development of the Kokkos parallel programming model. These insights may be useful in parallel programming classes that introduce programming models, reason about design choices, and cover productivity in parallel software development.

Further, we would like to promote Kokkos to students and educators as a platform that helps them to understand and experiment with parallel patterns in a way that is generic, vendor-agnostic, and declarative. Abstractions allow students to learn about concurrency without being exposed to many architectural concerns initially and help to create awareness of their productivity benefits in terms of code- and performance portability on current and upcoming hardware architectures.

Finally, we would like to present Kokkos to the educational community as one representative parallel programming model for a trend towards portability abstractions in parallel programming models. We show a set of interfaces that implement patterns, views, and spaces, and capture enough semantic information to produce performance-portable, parallel code on current architectures. Further, we highlight its alignment and contributions to standardization efforts towards supporting parallel programming in C++. For teaching insights, we document our best practices obtained from teaching Kokkos as well as feedback received during on-line lectures.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. The next chapter gives an overview of parallel programming concepts and presents the notion of semantic capture. Chapter II presents the abstract machine model used to derive the required semantic information for performance portability in Kokkos. Chapters III and IV present the Kokkos programming model and an example application. In Chapter V we provide insight into a Kokkos backend implementation. Chapters VI and VII discuss related work and best practices for teaching in online classes. Lastly Chapter VIII concludes this work.

I. TOWARDS GENERIC PARALLEL PROGRAMMING

A programming model exposes constrained semantics that the programmer uses to express intent. Absent a programming model providing additional constraints, the semantics of C-like languages can lead to sequential thinking and execution. Parallel programming models expose parallel semantics to enable developers to add concurrency to their code. This is commonly achieved by adding annotations to the base programming language, often through pragma clauses or similar language extensions. Other methods expose such semantics through library calls or new languages. All three approaches are conceptually suitable to represent programming paradigms such as tasking, data parallelism, and other patterns. Some representatives are the OpenMP programming model [7], threading libraries, or languages like Erlang [8] in which parallelism is a first-class citizen. In general, it is up to the parallel programming model implementer to expose language constructs or library interfaces (APIs) that combine convenience to the programmer with a sufficiently constrained semantics that is used to map the program execution to the parallel computer hardware efficiently and correctly. Questions of which semantic information is needed, what paradigm to present, and by what syntactic means to do so span an exciting design space that is well worth exploring.

Figure 1 shows the four properties that constitute a parallel programming model and its design. We call it the semantic capture.

Constrained Semantics: provided by the programmer to the parallel programming model can be grouped into information to express intent or correctness and properties that control critical performance aspects. They address the question of what, where, and how. In the context of parallel programming, these correspond to defining which code portion to parallelize, where to run the code, where to access the data, and how to run that parallel code. Synchronization primitives may be considered part of the what, and information on the execution properties such as data placement or memory access type may be considered as part of the how. Application logic is expressed following a paradigm implemented in a programming language.

A Parallel Paradigm is an abstract representation to facilitate the programmer’s understanding of programming rules and program behavior. Which programming paradigm to
choose depends on several considerations. Parallel patterns allow the programmer to permit concurrent execution for commonly occurring programming patterns such as loop constructs. Tasking is a paradigm that supports the expression of interdependent work items needed for irregular algorithms. Distributed and correctness-oriented programming models may implement actor-based programming, where each unit of execution represents an actor who communicates over predefined communication channels. This paradigm eliminates access to a shared state and aligns well with message passing programming (MPI). The execution model and memory model aspects of a programming model define its behavior. That is, it defines the relationship between abstract concepts and program execution on a given hardware architecture.

A language defines the syntax of the parallel semantics. Annotations-based languages add semantic information to the base language through pragmas while embedded programming models rely on the base language and offer additional programming interfaces to capture information. Their semantics is defined in the API specification.

Lastly, a programming model must divide responsibility between the developer and the model. We refer to this as defining the Control Paradigm. In practice, this is a choice between defining a descriptive and prescriptive semantics. Descriptive semantics requires a developer to express intent. The implementation is the responsibility of the programming model and of the underlying toolchain. Prescriptive semantics requires the developer to specify the mechanisms by which their intent will be implemented. In a prescriptive model, developer success is tied to their knowledge of implementation mechanisms. In a descriptive model that success is tied to the developer’s ability to accurately describe their requirements and the toolchain’s ability to turn that into implementation.

Figures 2 and 3 show two code examples using the aforementioned parallel programming syntaxes. While both programming models implement the same programming paradigm (parallel patterns), Figures 2 extends the base programming language through pragma annotations. Both, pragma annotations and an API call as shown in Figure 3 can have a descriptive or prescriptive semantics of describing a parallel loop construct. It is up to the programming model specification to make further definitions regarding the separation of concern.

Both examples provide the semantic information on what to parallelize, however, they do not expose enough abstraction primitives that would allow them to map parallel execution on modern architectures efficiently. To define what further information is needed to express concurrency on modern computer architectures, it is important to define an abstract machine representation first. In the following chapters, we use the words representation and model interchangeably. In this work, the abstract machine model does not describe a computer in terms of automata theory but in terms of physical or functional components.

II. THE KOKKOS MACHINE MODEL

The Kokkos machine model defines abstractions that represent hardware capabilities for processing and data access. The Kokkos parallel programming model exposes these abstractions to the programmer through C++ and the Kokkos API. By abstracting from physical hardware, the machine model ensures that applications written in any programming model that is targeting this machine model are generic and performance-portable on current and future hardware. Kokkos is one particular instance of a programming model that builds on top of that machine model. We would like to point out that it is this conceptual differentiation that allows scenarios where the underlying machine model is instantiated in other languages beyond C++, yet the algorithmic specification as well as performance characteristics and portability remain the same.

The Kokkos abstract machine representation targets a design of a future shared-memory computing architecture. The design is shown in Figure 4. It is characterized by multiple latency-optimized execution units and off-die bandwidth-optimized accelerators. Both compute device types can have disjoint memory address spaces with unique performance properties. In such an architecture, execution units might be hierarchically organized with multiple levels of achievable parallelism with different memory access characteristics and coherence properties of caches. To ensure performance portability on such a wide range of configurations, an abstraction of compute-resources and available memories is required. For this purpose, we introduce the abstract concept called space.

An instance of an execution space is an abstraction over an execution resource to which a programmer can target parallel work. For example, an execution space can be used to describe a multi-core processor. In this case, the execution space contains several homogeneous cores organized into arbitrary logical groups. In a parallel programming model that implements this machine model, an instance of such an execution space would be made available to the programmer to run kernels. Adding more logical groups or accelerators simply increases the number of available execution spaces to the programmer.

```
#pragma model parallel loop
for ( size_t i = 0; i < N; ++i) {
  /* loop body */
}
```

Fig. 2: Annotations-based programming models extend the semantic of the base language through pragma annotations.

```
model::parallel_for (N, [=] ( const size_t i) {
  /* loop body */
});
```

Fig. 3: Embedded parallel programming uses the base language and relies on programming interfaces to capture information.
Memory and memory types are exposed through memory spaces. Each memory space provides storage capacity at which data structures can be allocated and accessed. Different memory space types have different characteristics with respect to accessibility from execution spaces and performance.

An instance of a memory space provides a concrete method for the application programmer to request data storage allocations. Following the architecture, as discussed earlier, the multi-core processor may contain multiple memory spaces that abstract on-package memory, slower DRAM, and non-volatile memories. Accelerators can provide an additional memory space through its local on-package memory. This is also highlighted in Figure 4. The programmer is free to decide where each data structure is allocated by requesting the corresponding memory space. Programmatically this is achieved by instantiating the according memory space. Kokkos provides the appropriate abstraction of memory allocation and data management. We believe that an abstract representation of execution- and memory devices is a key property towards performance-portable parallel programming.

How a machine model is exposed to the programmer and what the design considerations are towards defining an appropriate semantic capture are discussed in the next section.

III. THE KOKKOS PROGRAMMING MODEL

The Kokkos programming model specifies a programming paradigm and an API. The API exposes the machine representation to the developer and defines a set of patterns and types that capture intents and properties of execution. This completes the semantic capture, discussed in Chapter I. We detail all three components as follows.

Kokkos defines a pure interface for C++ and uses C++ as a programming language. This has multiple reasons. It is our experience that developers of scientific and high-performance computing applications express the demand for C++ and a generic parallel programming model to support their codes. Further, following their estimates, porting efforts towards adapting to vendors, programming models, APIs, and software releases represent a burden worth addressing. Lastly, the possibility to maintain pure C++ codes is appealing from the code development and debugging perspective.

From the programming model perspective, C++ offers template metaprogramming which is well suited to implement generic APIs and libraries. In this case, class specialization and templating allow for compile-time generated types and optimizations for a given hardware architecture.

Using the programming language, a parallel programming paradigm can be applied to simplify the process of thought. Kokkos supports parallel patterns and tasking. Parallel patterns cover for, scan and reduction. This allows the expression of concurrency over iterative, for-loop computable algorithms. To cover the class of while-loop computable algorithms (irregular algorithms), Kokkos implements the tasking paradigm. Tasks encapsulate work into units that may be executed in parallel to other tasks or sections of the program. Equipped with a language and set of paradigms, a particular set of abstractions can be defined to expose the abstract machine model and capture the intent of the programmer.

To capture semantic information, that is the what, the where, and the how, Kokkos introduces six abstractions: execution spaces, execution patterns, execution policies, memory spaces, memory layout, and memory traits. These abstractions specify semantic information that enables to capture the programmer’s intent and allows the runtime to efficiently map the program to any underlying hardware architecture. We list them as follows:

- An execution space is a place where code can be executed. On current hardware architectures, this corresponds to accelerators and CPUs and can include other compute devices in the future. This abstraction supports remote compute devices in distributed memory scenarios as remote execution spaces.
- Execution patterns expose the parallel programming paradigm. Supported patterns are the parallel_for loop that executes the loop body in any order a specified amount of times, the parallel_reduce which combines a parallel_for with a reduction operation, the parallel_scan which combines a parallel_for operation with a prefix or postfix scan, and task which executes a single function potentially in parallel with respect to other tasks or code sections.
- Execution policies shape the iteration space of a loop pattern. A simple execution policy is a range policy. It specifies that the loop body is executed once for each element in a range.
- Memory spaces are the places where data resides. They specify the physical locations of data as well as access characteristics. Different physical locations correspond to different device types such as high bandwidth memories, on-die scratch memories, or non-volatile bulk storage. Different logical memory spaces allow for concepts such as memory in the CUDA programming model, which is accessible from the host and the CUDA accelerator. Memory spaces, similarly to execution spaces, conceptually support remote memory locations in distributed-memory scenarios. Furthermore, they encapsulate functionality such as consistency control and persistence.

Fig. 4: Spaces represent conceptual building blocks of the abstract machine model in Kokkos.
template <class ExecPolicy, class FunctorType>
Kokkos::parallel_for(const std::string& name,
const ExecPolicy& policy,
const FunctorType& functor);

Fig. 5: The Kokkos \texttt{parallel\_for} class shows how template
metaprogramming allows to specialize types. Its descriptive
semantic offers the freedom to optimize execution on modern
architectures with multiple degrees of concurrency where
an execution policy (\texttt{ExecPolicy}) shapes the iteration space
accordingly.

Constrained Semantics: Patterns (intent),
Spaces, Layouts, Policies and Traits
Parallel Paradigms: Parallel patterns and
tasking
Expression: Embedded, C++
Control Paradigm: descriptive

Fig. 6: Semantic capture defined by the Kokkos programming model.

- Layouts express the mapping from array indices to ad-
  dress offsets. By adopting appropriate layouts for mem-
  ory structures, an application can optimize data access
  patterns in a given algorithm. If an implementation pro-
  vides polymorphic layouts (i.e. a data structure can be
  instantiated at compile or runtime with different layouts),
  architecture-dependent optimizations can be performed.
- Memory traits specify how a data structure is accessed.
  Traits express usage scenarios such as atomic access,
  random access, and streaming loads or stores. This al-
  lows the programming model to optimize load and store
  operations.

Figure 5 shows one definition of the Kokkos \texttt{parallel\_for}
interface. This interface accepts two template parameters and
three function arguments. \texttt{ExecPolicy} shapes the iteration
space for a particular execution space. Examples of exec-
ution policies are the \texttt{RangePolicy}, \texttt{MDRangePolicy}
and the \texttt{TeamPolicy}. As the name suggests, these policies express
iteration spaces. For example, a range policy defines a one-
dimensional iteration range. The \texttt{MDRangePolicy} represents a
multi-dimensional iteration space and is used to express tightly
nested loop patterns. A team policy defines a 1D iteration
range, each of which is assigned to a team of threads. This policy
allows the expression of hierarchical parallelism. The second template parameter, \texttt{FunctorType}, represent a functor
that implements the \texttt{operator} with a matching signature
for the given execution policy. The functor can be defined
using a C++ class, struct or lambda expression. We would
like to highlight that the return semantic of the \texttt{parallel\_for} is
defined as \textit{potentially asynchronous}. To guarantee a kernel has
finished, a developer should call the fence of the execution
space on which the kernel is being executed. Otherwise, it
depends on the execution space where the loop executes and
whether this execution space implements a barrier.

The semantic of the \texttt{parallel\_for} class in Kokkos demonstra-
tes the descriptive nature of the programming model.
Programming with patterns and abstractions allows the com-
piler to generate optimized transformations. Generally, parallel
patterns in Kokkos do not guarantee iteration ordering nor
the degree of concurrency during their execution. This gives
the freedom to the underlying abstraction layers to implement
different mapping patterns on different hardware such as the
assignment of iterations to threads or vector lanes. We believe
that these abstractions and their descriptive semantic represent
key programming primitives and the way forward for generic
support for parallel programming. In conclusion, Figure 6
shows the semantic capture defined by the Kokkos program-
ming model. Figure 7 summarizes patterns and abstractions
and structures them by information type in the semantic
capture.

To the interested reader, the complete programming model
description can be accessed on-line [9]. The next section shows
an example of the Kokkos \texttt{parallel\_for} and explains how it is
mapped to the underlying backend programming model.

IV. EXAMPLE

In this section, we provide an example of an application
written in the Kokkos programming model. The code in
Figure 8 shows a parallel matrix-vector multiplication
implemented as two nested loops. The outer loop, that is the
outer \texttt{parallel\_for}, iterates over the rows of the input
matrix and of the input vector while the inner loop iterates
over elements in each row. Since the inner loop potentially
executes in parallel, the update operation of the aggregating
variable \texttt{y\_tmp} must be protected against data races. Using
the \texttt{parallel\_reduction} pattern, the programming model pro-
vides a local variable to that loop iteration. Once the com-
putation finishes, the final result of the reduction operation is
stored in the output vector \texttt{y} at the row index \texttt{e}. As a first step, a
Kokkos application must call \texttt{Kokkos::initialize}. Initialization
and finalization are required and serve the purpose of setting
up the underlying runtime. Further, the example code shows
the use of the \texttt{Kokkos::View} abstraction.
Views are abstractions that represent data. Naturally, data can reside in host memory where it is accessible to the host execution space or on device memory where it is accessible to the device execution space. In this example, copies of the views are created to make them accessible by the host process. This is accomplished by calling the `create_mirror_view` function.

If the original data is already accessible by the host process, this function returns a `View` referencing the same allocation. In real applications, a host-accessible allocation is necessary to allow I/O operations during filesystem access. To move data to the respective memory space that is accessible by the parallel execution resources, the function `deep_copy` has to be invoked. In case two view objects are aliased, this operation results in a no-op. Consequently, the simple example does not explicitly specify a memory space where the data lives in. However, Kokkos ensures that the defaults for parallel execution and data allocations match.

Taking a closer look at the loop step shows the hierarchical expression of concurrency. This is accomplished by using the `Kokkos::TeamPolicy`. For each row of A a team of threads is launched, where the size of the team is not further specified. When using a `TeamPolicy` the operator of the lambda expression does not receive an index, but rather a handle to the team of threads. This handle provides the team identifier `e`, and is subsequently handed to the nested parallel execution policies. The nested reduction requires the operator of the nested lambda to take a reference to the thread-local reduction variable (called here `y_tmp`) in addition to the loop index. It then writes the result to the correct position of the output vector. The parallel loops are followed by a call to `Kokkos::fence`. This ensures that the execution of the parallel code is completed before copying the results back to the host memory space. This is implemented through the `Kokkos::deep_copy` call. Lastly, the Kokkos application calls `Kokkos::finalize`.

While this example can only provide a brief insight into Kokkos, we recommend to the interested reader to access further resources. Resources include example applications for each Kokkos feature, tutorials with multiple days worth of lectures and exercises, a programming guide, and API documentation. Source code examples as well as the tutorial are located in the Kokkos repository [10]. The tutorial is intended for students with previous knowledge of one parallel programming model and basic knowledge of C++. It introduces concepts of parallel programming using Kokkos through a series of lectures and hands-on exercises that build upon each other and gradually introduce new concepts. The programming guide is available on-line [9]. In chapter VII we provide further details on best practices obtained from teaching Kokkos as well as list feedback received from lecture attendees.

### V. Backend Support

In this section, we peek inside the Kokkos library and show how a generic parallel for loop is mapped to the OpenMP backend programming model. As a template metaprogramming library, Kokkos makes use of partial specialization. In particular the `parallel_for` or `parallel_reduce` function calls, as shown in Figure 8, are mapped to partially specialized classes. Such classes correspond to a particular backend where for each backend, concepts with partial specialization must be provided. Today, Kokkos supports the CUDA, OpenMP, HIP as well as one-sided MPI, SHMEM and NVSHMEM backends. For each new backend, partially implemented classes that implement necessary concepts must be provided.

A simplified version of a partially specialized class for a parallel for-loop implementation in the OpenMP backend is shown in Figure 9. The `parallel_for` function instantiates a partial specialization of the `ParallelFor` class and calls its `execute` function. That function is then responsible to implement the Kokkos parallel pattern. In this backend, the parallel implementation is generated by using OpenMP pragma annotations and a by invoking a compatible compiler when the library is compiled. Figure 10 gives an architectural overview of Kokkos and lists important abstractions as well as the currently supported backends.

### VI. Related Work

C++ increasingly supports parallel constructs. It is becoming increasingly possible to teach parallelism in C++ and relying on modern C++ compilers. However, at the time of

```cpp
#include<Kokkos_Core.hpp>

int main(int argc, char* argv[]) {
  Kokkos::initialize(argc, argv);
  Kokkos::View<double* x("x", M));
  Kokkos::View<double* y("y", N));
  auto x_h = Kokkos::create_mirror_view(x);
  auto y_h = Kokkos::create_mirror_view(y);
  auto A_h = Kokkos::create_mirror_view(A);
  Kokkos::deep_copy(x, x_h);
  Kokkos::deep_copy(y, y_h);
  Kokkos::deep_copy(A, A_h);

  Kokkos::parallel_for("outer",
    TeamPolicy<>(N, AUTO),
    [=](const member_type &team_handle) {
      const int e = team_handle.league_rank();
      Kokkos::parallel_reduce(
        TeamThreadRange(team_handle, M),
        [=](const int & i, double & y_tmp) {
          y_tmp += A(e, i) * x(i);
        }, y(e));
    });
  Kokkos::fence();
  Kokkos::deep_copy(y_h, y); //copy to host memory space
  Kokkos::finalize();
}
```

Fig. 8: This example application written in Kokkos shows the use of a `view`-type and a parallel for loop using a `TeamPolicy` and a nested parallel reduction.
public:
  void execute() const {
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for(int i=policy.begin(); i<policy.end(); ++i)
      functor(i);
  }
};

template <class FunctorType, class PolicyType>
void parallel_for(std::string label,
    const PolicyType& policy,
    const FunctorType& functor) {
  // Call profiling tool hooks with label
  ParallelFor<FunctorType,PolicyType>
    pf{functor,policy};
  pf.execute();
}

Fig. 9: This code shows the backend implementation of a parallel loop in the Kokkos programming model. It shows a particular implementation of a generic pattern as a templated class to the OpenMP execution space. Also, it shows the use of OpenMP pragma annotations that put platform compilers in charge to generate parallel code for the underlying hardware architecture.

Fig. 10: Overview of the Kokkos programming model shows important abstractions as well as the inclusion of backend programming models used by the runtime to map higher level concepts to concrete hardware architectures.

template <class FunctorType>
class ParallelFor<FunctorType, RangePolicy<OpenMP>> {
  const FunctorType functor;
  const RangePolicy<OpenMP> policy;
public:
  void execute() const {
    #pragma omp parallel for
    for(int i=policy.begin(); i<policy.end(); ++i)
      functor(i);
  }
};

template <class FunctorType, class PolicyType>
void parallel_for(std::string label,
    const PolicyType& policy,
    const FunctorType& functor) {
  // Call profiling tool hooks with label
  ParallelFor<FunctorType,PolicyType>
    pf{functor,policy};
  pf.execute();
}

VII. VIRTUAL TEACHING EXPERIENCES

In our experience, teaching Kokkos benefits from a set of good practices that we document in this section. We exclude obvious practices such as good documentation, accessibility, or responsiveness to user questions. Our best practices can be divided into accessibility and organization of source code distribution, presentation material, and presentation methodology.

Our Kokkos source code is accessible through GitHub and supports a variety of build systems including Makefile, Cmake as well as Spack [14]. This variety in build system support makes the code easily accessible and executable to a wider range of users who are familiar with one of these build systems. Further, the Kokkos source code directly includes an example for each feature. The inclusion of examples in the source code distribution is beneficial for quick look-up of syntax, APIs, and usage patterns. All examples are embedded into small but complete test applications as this unburdens the interested programmer from any additional programming. For completeness, examples include codes that illustrate important performance implications of certain properties such as the definition of access patterns in views that differ between host and accelerator devices (strided versus coalesced).

Our presentation and tutorial material is divided into 8 units that gradually roll out all features and use-cases of the Kokkos programming model. Our tutorial material covers approximately 8 two-hour lectures, where each lecture summarizes the key learning points of the previous lecture at the beginning, summarizes the current lecture at the end, and gives a preview on the upcoming one. This creates continuity, improves the attendee’s orientation in the subject, and spurs interest. Each lecture is concluded with a call for experimentation.

Each unit has a prepared hands-on exercise that can be used either in-lined during presentational classes or given out as homework for individual experimentation. During the online presentation, the presenter explains the solution of the homework through screen-sharing and repeats important concepts.
• Introduction, Building and Parallel Dispatch
• Views and Spaces
• Data Structures + MultiDimensional Loops
• Hierarchical Parallelism
• Tasking, Streams and SIMD
• Internode: MPI and PGAS
• Tools: Profiling, Tuning and Debugging
• Kernels: Sparse and Dense Linear Algebra

Fig. 11: Outline of the Kokkos on-line lecture series with 8 two-hour sessions incrementally cover all concepts and features.

We would like to point out that each homework assignment contains the solution in a separate directory. Providing a solution lowers the barrier of experimentation and helps impatient students.

Online classes tend to restrict possible interaction between the presenter and attendees to chats. In turns out useful to assign assistant presenters to actively participate in the chat during the presentation. This helps to resolve questions and support audience engagement. Since some chat conversations tend to fall short, it is a good practice to save the chat transcript and to publish the transcript together with refined responses online where they can be accessed by attendees for reference. To help interested attendees, we offer consulting hours. Consulting hours are hosted as online telephone conferences where attendees can call in and ask further questions regarding lecture content or homework.

The advantage of virtual classes is their possibility of being recording. Recordings can serve as a valuable resource for future reference with quick access links pointing to particular timestamps in the recording relevant to a topic.

A recent online course [15] has been publicly announced through social media and counted approximately 200 attendees. The majority of attendees are familiar with at least one parallel programming model and have a background in scientific computing. The listing in Figure 11 shows the structure of our online lectures.

Questions asked by attendees during the recent online course showed that they did understand the relevance as well as key concepts. Questions were mostly related to details in behavior, differences to other programming models, and best practices. We would like to direct the interested reader to our online website where the Q&A sessions are listed in detail.

VIII. CONCLUSION

In this work, we gave an introduction to the Kokkos parallel programming model and presented guiding thoughts behind its design. We discussed a machine representation of a parallel hardware architecture that is characterized by a variety of processing units, memories, and their hierarchical organization. This machine model allowed us to define a constrained semantic represented through common abstractions such as patterns, views, and spaces.

An example application gave insight into parallel programming with Kokkos and its OpenMP backend implementation. We used them to show the generic programming interface and type specialization of the implementing classes to support a particular vendor programming model.

To the educational community, we presented Kokkos as a representative programming model of a trend towards the support of parallel programming in base languages and libraries through a common vendor- and architecture-independent abstractions.

The recent shift towards online classes impacted our teaching of Kokkos as well. In this work, we presented our best-practices when conducting online classes including lecture structure, material preparation, and methodology.

Finally, we would like to express gratitude to educators of parallel programming and computer science for taking on this important and challenging role. In case of interest, we would gladly provide further material and support on Kokkos and offer to the interested reader to reach out to the development team on Slack [16].
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